

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,275	09/28/2005	Mark Christopher Hope	M02B156	8421
7134 7590 01/11/2010 Edwards Vacuum, Inc. 2041 MISSION COLLEGE BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			BOBISH, CHRISTOPHER S	
SUITE 260 SANTA CLARA, CA 95054		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
on the car	, 0.130001		3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/532,275	HOPE, MARK CHRISTOPHER
	Art Unit
CHRISTOPHER BOBISH	3746
1000	

reason(s).
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. ☐ Other:
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
2. ☑ Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.
Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.

1. Improper Request - The Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 12 October 2009.

/Christopher Bobish/ /Devon C Kramer/ /Daniel G. DePumpo/
Examiner, Art Unit 3746 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 3746 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3700

4. Reopen Prosecution - A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office

(3)DAN DEPUMPO.

(4)____.

action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.

All participants:
(1) CHRISTOPHER BOBISH.

(2) DEVON KRAMER.